

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,326	12/14/2001	Robert C.U. Yu	A0A96	8625
75	90 01/10/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			HARAN, JOHN T	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1733	
	, 111 22320			

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-11V		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.,, -		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/683,326	YU ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John T. Haran	1733			
All Participants: Status of Application: Notice of Appeal Filed					
(1) <u>John T. Haran</u> .	(3)		•		
(2) <u>Melanie McCollum</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 16 December 2004	Time:				
	icant's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: N/A					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fithe interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance		
Mh T Hana					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appro	opriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a message to verify that an Appeal Brief had been filed with this case. Related application 09/683,329 mentioned in its Appeal Brief filed on 11/22/04 that an Appeal Brief had been filed in this case (09/683,326) on 11/17/04. There is no record of the Appeal Brief being received. No reply to the voice mail message left by the Examiner has been made and as of 1/7/05 there is no record of the Appeal Brief being filed..